

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,752	01/05/2001	Terry L. Williams	6785-120	3897
39207	7590 09/10/2004	09/10/2004 EXAMINER		NER
SACCO & ASSOCIATES, PA P.O. BOX 30999 PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33420-0999			NGUYEN, TU X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2684	14
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	. / /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/755,752	WILLIAMS, TERRY L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Tu X Nguyen	2684
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		correspondence address
Period for Reply		(2) == 2.1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rei f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te. cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).
Status 1)  Nesponsive to communication(s) filed on 10	Lune 2004	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.	,
		prosecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		,
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	•
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applica	tion No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle.</li> <li>application from the International E</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has been re	ceived.
Attachment(s)	_	·
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 2684

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. In view of the Declaration under 37 C.F.R 1.131 filed on 6/10/04. PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/28/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argue, date 1/28/04 pages 10-11, Dutta's reference is "signaling packets" as oppose to "contain traffic data". However, Dutta discloses "the mobile terminal compiles user messages for transmission at a designated one of a number of available data rates over the designated return channel. Data identified as signaling data packets or user messages are transferred...." (see col.10 lines 44-50, "user messages" reads on "contain traffic data").

Art Unit: 2684

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 13-15, 18-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dutta (US Patent 5,923,648)

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Dutta discloses a wireless cellular communication system comprising a base station (150, fig.2) and at least one repeater (155) communicating over a wireless backhaul link for communicating with a plurality of mobile subscribers, a method for improved backhaul efficiency, comprising the steps of:

dynamically assigning for said backhaul link at least one packet (see col.2 lines 10-19) channel for transmission of selected packets containing traffic data (see col.10 lines 44-50, "user messages" reads on "containing traffic data") on a backhaul signal for a subscriber (see col.6 lines 27-33), said at least one packet channel comprising at least an RF frequency and a channel definition (see col.6 lines 37-39, col.7 lines 1-32); and

transmitting said selected packets on said at least one packet channel between said at least one repeater and said base station (see col.6 lines 36-37).

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Dutta discloses the step of performing said assigning step in response to a request for communicating over said backhaul signal for one of said plurality of mobile subscribers (see col.9 line 55 through col.15 line 66).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Dutta discloses said request include a priority field (see col.13 lines 9-25), "real time" and "none real time" corresponds to "priority".

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Dutta discloses channel definition includes a set of parameters which defining said packet channel, said parameters comprising at least one of:

- a. a number of selected packets which can be sent over said assigned packet channel (see col.7 lines 54-55 and col.28 lines 21-24); and
- b. a number frames allocated for transmission of said selected packets (see col.20 line 65 through col.21 line 10).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Dutta discloses channel definition further includes an identified time for transmission of said selected packets (see col.23 lines 26-66).

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Dutta discloses backhaul signal comprises at least one selected from the group consisting of user traffic and control data (see col.2 lines 1-34).

Regarding claims 9 and 21, Dutta discloses user traffic is comprised of voice traffic (see col.13 lines 19-20), "real time" reads on "voice".

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Dutta discloses packets are transmitted over said backhaul link using a higher order modulation (see col. 21-22).

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Dutta discloses the step of converting between a packet based backhaul signal and a non-packet based ground link signal (see col.8 lines 57-61).

Art Unit: 2684

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 and 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutta and further in view of Rudrapatna et al. (US Patent 5,592,470).

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Dutta fails to disclose the step of dynamically reassigning at least a portion of said assigned packet channel for transmission of a second backhaul signal (see col.6 lines 4-29).

Rudrapatna et al. disclose the step of dynamically reassigning at least a portion of said assigned packet channel for transmission of a second backhaul signal (see col.6 lines 4-29). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dutta with the above teaching of Rudrapatna et al. in order to enhanced allocating/sharing bandwidth/channels to differing services based on demand schedule matched to demand patterns.

7. Claims 4 and 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutta and further in view of Pierce et al. (US Patent 5,666,364).

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Dutta fails to disclose a comparing step wherein said data priority fields are compared to determined whether to terminate transmission of a lower priority transmission to allow transmission of a higher priority transmission.

Art Unit: 2684

Pierce et al. disclose a comparing step wherein said data priority fields are compared to determined whether to terminate transmission of a lower priority transmission to allow transmission of a higher priority transmission (see col.3 lines 55-66). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dutta with the above teaching of Pierce et al. in order to allow subscriber to assign priority levels and/or coming-call options with regard to the particular call types serviced by an associated network entity.

8. Claims 12 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudrapatna et al. and further in view of Kapoor (US Patent 6,404,769).

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Dutta discloses at least one repeater comprises a plurality of repeaters, wherein one of said at least one packet channel is used to transmit packets between multiple repeaters selected from said plurality of repeaters and further in view of said base station (see fig.1).

Kapoor discloses at least one repeater comprises a plurality of repeaters, wherein one of said at least one packet channel is used to transmit packets between multiple repeaters selected from said plurality of repeaters and further in view of said base station (see col.4 lines 22-41). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dutta with the above teaching of Kapoor in order to provide a method of optimal routing packets for continuous communications coverage to most areas of the earth's surface.

Art Unit: 2684

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

September 3, 2004

EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

Au 2684